Journal of Commercial Law Review
2025, VOL. 9, NO. 1, 61-67
DOI: 10.47297/wspjclrWSP2516-249713.20250901

The Dutch East India Company's influence on international financial law: its role in shaping global financial market practices

Jiajia Zhang

University of Zurich; University of California, Berkeley; 8006 Zurich, Switzerland

ABSTRACT
This paper examines the legacy of the Dutch East India Company (VOC) in shaping modern corporate governance, financial law, and risk management practices, with a particular focus on its role in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and the development of international financial law. The VOC, though a pioneer in financial innovation, lacked a modern approach to CSR, which contrasts with today's expectations for corporations to operate ethically and sustainably. The study explores the VOC's business model, its neglect of social and environmental responsibility, and how its financial mechanisms, such as stock issuance, bond markets, and risk management practices, have influenced modern financial systems. Additionally, the paper analyzes the VOC's impact on sovereign debt, public finance, and the evolution of bond markets. The findings highlight the importance of ethical governance in ensuring long-term corporate success and the VOC's dual role in shaping both early corporate practices and modern financial law.

KEYWORDS
Corporate Social Responsibility; Dutch East India Company; financial innovation; sovereign debt; international financial law

1 Introduction

The Dutch East India Company (VOC), established in 1602, is often regarded as one of the earliest multinational corporations.[1] Its historical significance extends far beyond its role in global trade, as it pioneered financial innovations that remain central to modern business practices. However, the VOC's legacy is a complex one, marked by its exploitative labor practices, monopolistic tactics, and disregard for ethical responsibility—practices that contrast sharply with the principles of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) prevalent in today's corporate landscape.[2] This paper explores the VOC's influence on corporate governance, financial law, and the global trade system, while critically analyzing how its lack of social responsibility serves as a cautionary tale for modern businesses. It will also examine the VOC's contributions to financial innovation, particularly its role in shaping international financial law, risk management, and sovereign debt markets.

2 The Evolution of Corporate Responsibility: From the VOC to Modern Corporations

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has become an essential aspect of modern business, emphasizing ethical operations, environmental sustainability, and social impact. However, corporations were not always held to these standards.[3] The Dutch East India Company (VOC), established in 1602, played a crucial role in the development of global trade and corporate governance but operated with little regard for what we now consider CSR principles. While it pioneered many practices fundamental to today's businesses, its approach to ethics and responsibility contrasts sharply with contemporary CSR principles. This following content examines the VOC's business model, its approach to social responsibility, and how it compares to modern corporations. It also analyzes the foundational elements the VOC established that persist in today's corporate governance and global trade.

The VOC was granted a monopoly over Dutch trade in the East Indies, functioning as an early multinational corporation with extensive political and military power.[4] It raised capital through stock issuance, pioneered financial instruments such as bonds and dividends, and established corporate governance structures that remain relevant today.[5]

1 Gelderblom, O., de Jong, A., & Jonker, J. (2013). The Formative Years of the Modern Corporation: The Dutch East India Company VOC, 1602–1623. *The Journal of Economic History*, *73*(4), 1050–1076. doi:10.1017/S0022050713000879

2 Tai, F. M., & Chuang, S. H. (2014). Corporate social responsibility. *Ibusiness*, *6*(03), 117.

3 Lindgreen, A., & Swaen, V. (2010). Corporate social responsibility. *International journal of management reviews*, *12*(1), 1-7.

4 Borschberg, P. (2021, February 23). The Dutch East India Company (VOC) in Southeast Asia. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Asian History*. Retrieved 23 Mar. 2025, from https://oxfordre. com/asianhistory/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190277727.001.0001/acrefore-9780190277727-e-550.

5 Chaudhuri, K. N. (2006). *The Trading World of Asia and the English East India Company: 1660-1760*. Cambridge University Press.

However, the VOC's operations were driven by profit maximization with little regard for ethical considerations. It engaged in exploitative labor practices, monopolistic trade policies, and territorial conquests, often leading to human rights abuses.[6] The company's governance prioritized shareholder returns above social and environmental concerns, a stark contrast to modern CSR principles. The VOC's involvement in forced labor, environmental degradation, and violent monopolization practices illustrates the absence of ethical considerations in its corporate strategy.[7] Carroll (1991) defines CSR through four key dimensions: economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic responsibilities.[8] Contemporary corporations are expected to engage in sustainable business practices, support local communities, and operate transparently. Major corporations such as Apple, Tesla, and Unilever have integrated CSR into their business models by reducing carbon footprints, ensuring fair labor practices, and investing in community development.[9] Unlike the VOC, which prioritized short-term profit and territorial expansion, modern companies face pressure from stakeholders to adopt socially responsible policies. Additionally, contemporary businesses are held accountable through legal frameworks, consumer activism, and corporate governance regulations.[10]

Despite its ethical shortcomings, the VOC introduced several practices that shaped modern corporations. The VOC was the first company to issue publicly traded shares, leading to the development of stock exchanges.[11] It also established a board of directors, known as the Heeren XVII, which served as a precursor to modern corporate boards and was responsible for strategic decision-making. [12]Furthermore, the VOC's establishment of global supply chains laid the groundwork for multinational business operations.[13]

The VOC's downfall was partly due to its unsustainable and unethical business practices, which led to financial mismanagement and eventual bankruptcy in 1799. This serves as a cautionary tale for modern businesses, highlighting the necessity of balancing profitability with ethical responsibility. [14]Companies that fail to address social and environmental concerns risk reputational damage and legal consequences, as seen in recent corporate scandals.[15] Modern CSR frameworks emphasize long-term sustainability, recognizing that exploitative practices can lead to reputational damage and regulatory scrutiny.[16] The VOC's history underscores the importance of ethical governance and responsible business operations in sustaining corporate longevity. As globalization continues, businesses must consider the social and environmental impact of their operations, ensuring ethical practices while maintaining profitability.[17]

The Dutch East India Company laid the foundation for many modern corporate structures, yet its lack of social responsibility ultimately contributed to its demise.[18] In contrast, modern corporations must navigate an intricate balance between profitability and ethical responsibility, with CSR playing a critical role in shaping business strategies.[19] The VOC's legacy, while significant, serves as a reminder that sustainable business practices and ethical governance are essential for long-term success. While modern corporations have inherited the VOC's financial and governance models, they must

---

6 Emmer, P. C., & Klooster, W. (1999). The Dutch Atlantic, 1600-1800 expansion without empire. *Itinerario*, *23*(2), 48-69.

7 Gaastra, F. S., & Daniels, P. (2003). The Dutch East India Company: Expansion and Decline. *(No Title)*.

8 Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. International journal of management reviews, 12(1), 85-105.

9 Fan, D., & Zhao, C. (2024, December). Corporate Environmental Responsibility and Brand Reputation: Developing Sustainable Business Models and Stakeholder Engagement. In *2024 7th International Conference on Humanities Education and Social Sciences (ICHESS 2024)* (pp. 1020-1026). Atlantis Press.

10 Crane, A., Matten, D., Glozer, S., & Spence, L. J. (2019). *Business ethics: Managing corporate citizenship and sustainability in the age of globalization*. Oxford university press.

11 Neal, L. (1993). *The rise of financial capitalism: International capital markets in the age of reason*. Cambridge University Press.

12 Gaastra, F. S., & Daniels, P. (2003). The Dutch East India Company: Expansion and Decline. *(No Title)*.

13 Tracy, J. D. (Ed.). (1990). *The Rise of merchant empires: long distance trade in the Early Modern World 1350-1750* (Vol. 1). Cambridge University Press.

14 Mrázek, R. (2002). MC Ricklefs, A History of Modern Indonesia since c. 1200. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press, 2001. xviii+ 495 pp. ISBN 0-8047-4479-3 (cloth); 0-8047-4480-7 (pbk.). *Itinerario*, *26*(3-4), 107-111.

15 Visser, W. (2011). *The age of responsibility: CSR 2.0 and the new DNA of business*. John Wiley & Sons.

16 Smith, N. C. (2003). Corporate social responsibility: whether or how?. *California management review*, *45*(4), 52-76.

17 Matten, D., & Moon, J. (2008). "Implicit" and "explicit" CSR: A conceptual framework for a comparative understanding of corporate social responsibility. *Academy of management Review*, *33*(2), 404-424.

18 Robertson, J., & Funnell, W. (2012). The Dutch East-India Company and accounting for social capital at the dawn of modern capitalism 1602–1623. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, *37*(5), 342-360.

19 Ardiansyah, M., & Alnoor, A. (2024). Integrating corporate social responsibility into business strategy: creating sustainable value. *Involvement International Journal of Business*, *1*(1), 29-42.

continue evolving to meet contemporary ethical standards.[20]

3 Pioneering Financial Mechanisms: The VOC's Role in Risk Management and Structured Finance

The VOC was one of the most influential economic enterprises in history, shaping not only early financial markets but also the evolution of modern international financial law. [21]Established in 1602, the VOC pioneered key financial mechanisms, such as publicly traded shares, corporate governance structures, and sophisticated investment systems. However, beyond these well-documented contributions, the VOC also influenced financial law in several lesser known but significant ways. This paper examines the VOC's role in shaping global financial practices, its influence on modern international financial law, and its impact on legal cooperation in business and trade practices through novel perspectives.

The Dutch East India Company (VOC) operated in an era of unprecedented global trade expansion, exposing it to substantial financial risks. To mitigate these risks, the VOC pioneered innovative financial mechanisms that laid the groundwork for modern financial risk management and structured finance. One of its most significant contributions was the development of early forms of maritime insurance, which allowed the company to hedge against unpredictable losses from piracy, shipwrecks, and natural disasters. By forming partnerships with insurers, the VOC distributed financial risks among multiple stakeholders, reducing the burden on individual investors and setting precedents for modern insurance law.[22]

The VOC's 1613 insurance agreement illustrates those businesses, regardless of the era, may seek to mitigate risk. Research based on modern data examining the factors influencing the use of financial risk management tools reveals a variety of reasons, such as the costs of bankruptcy, tax benefits, and personal interests of management.[23] We demonstrate that the VOC scenario aligns with Lessard's (1991) insights, [24]and the framework proposed by Froot et al. (1993).[25] We emphasize that hedging benefits companies with growth opportunities and financial limitations by generating value, allowing them to handle risk, and protect and stabilize their investments.[26] Research has also revealed that the attributes of companies with and without hedging strategies vary in terms of growth prospects and financial limitations.[27] The analysis demonstrates that the adoption and rationale behind risk management techniques outlined in contemporary financial research are also relevant to businesses in the early Modern period. The example of the VOC in the 1610s aligns closely with the financial model proposed by Froot et al. (1993). The examination offers concrete proof of the strategic importance and competitive edge provided by risk management tools, as suggested by Lessard (1991) and Froot et al. (1993).

In addition to insurance, the VOC played a pioneering role in structured finance through its multi-tiered investment arrangements. The company issued bonds to raise capital, which were traded in secondary markets, foreshadowing the securitization practices seen in modern investment banking.[28] These financial instruments allowed the VOC to diversify funding sources and maintain liquidity, a concept that resonates with today's structured finance techniques such as asset-backed securities and collateralized debt obligations (CDOs).[29] VOC bonds also influenced sovereign debt markets, as European governments observed and later adopted similar capital-raising mechanisms for public financing.

The VOC further innovated by utilizing futures and forward contracts to stabilize trade revenues and manage price

20 Aguilera, R. V., Aragón-Correa, J. A., Marano, V., & Tashman, P. A. (2021). The corporate governance of environmental sustainability: A review and proposal for more integrated research. *Journal of Management*, *47*(6), 1468-1497.

21 Hansmann, Henry, & Pargendler, Mariana. (2014). The evolution of shareholder voting rights: separation of ownership and consumption. *Yale Law Journal, 123(4),* 948-1013.

22 Gelderblom, O., De Jong, A., & Jonker, J. (2013). The formative years of the modern corporation: The Dutch East India Company VOC, 1602–1623. *The journal of economic history*, *73*(4), 1050-1076.

23 Bartram, S. M., Brown, G. W., & Fehle, F. R. (2009). International evidence on financial derivatives usage. *Financial management*, *38*(1), 185-206.

24 Lessard, D. R. (1991). Global competition and corporate finance in the 1990s. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, *3*(4), 59-72.

25 Froot, K. A., Scharfstein, D. S., & Stein, J. C. (1993). Risk management: Coordinating corporate investment and financing policies. *the Journal of Finance*, *48*(5), 1629-1658.

26 Gelderblom, O., De Jong, A., & Jonker, J. (2020). Learning how to manage risk by hedging: the VOC insurance contract of 1613. *European Review of Economic History*, *24*(2), 332-355.

27 Bartram, S. M., Brown, G. W., & Fehle, F. R. (2009). International evidence on financial derivatives usage. *Financial management*, *38*(1), 185-206.

28 Marichal, C. (1995). Larry Neal. The rise of financial capitalism: international capital markets in the age of reason. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993. 278 p. *Estudios sociológicos*, *13*(37), 200-201.

29 Longstaff, F. A., & Rajan, A. (2008). An empirical analysis of the pricing of collateralized debt obligations. *The Journal of Finance*, *63*(2), 529-563.

volatility in commodity markets.[30] The company frequently engaged in advance contracts for high-demand goods, such as spices and textiles, securing prices before delivery and thereby reducing exposure to market fluctuations.[31] This practice anticipated modern derivative markets, where futures contracts are used to hedge against price risks in commodities, currencies, and financial assets.[32] The principles underlying these early contractual arrangements—standardized terms, enforceability, and regulatory oversight—continue to shape global financial law and risk management frameworks.[33]

These financial innovations underscore the VOC's critical role in shaping modern international financial law.[34] By institutionalizing risk-sharing mechanisms, multi-tiered investment structures, and early derivatives trading, the VOC provided a foundation for contemporary financial regulations governing corporate finance, investment markets, and global trade.[35] The legacy of these mechanisms can be observed in financial institutions worldwide, from insurance regulations to central banking practices that ensure liquidity and economic stability.[36]

4 The VOC and the Birth of Sovereign Debt Markets: Impact on Modern Public Finance

The financial success of the VOC not only transformed the landscape of global trade but also set important precedents for the evolution of sovereign debt and public finance.[37] Several mechanisms pioneered by the VOC, including government-backed joint ventures, early sovereign debt markets, and the development of primary and secondary bond markets, have had a lasting influence on how modern governments raise capital.[38]

In terms of government – backed joint ventures, the VOC's success was built on a strong partnership between private enterprise and the Dutch government, which provided critical financial backing. This partnership established a model for modern public-private ventures, especially in large-scale infrastructure projects.[39] The VOC served as a prototype for government-backed joint ventures, where the state supports private capital in ventures that have national or strategic significance.[40] The government's role in underwriting the VOC's operations allowed the company to take on substantial financial risk while providing a safety net for investors. This model can be seen in contemporary financing mechanisms, such as the public-private partnerships (PPPs) in sectors like transportation and energy, where governments often provide guarantees or co-investment to attract private capital.[41]

Regarding early sovereign debt markets, the VOC was one of the first enterprises to issue securities that were traded in public markets, an innovation that directly contributed to the development of sovereign debt markets.[42] The company's bonds were bought by investors from across Europe, and the Dutch government's involvement in the company led to the creation of a market for these debt instruments, which would later serve as the foundation for modern sovereign debt markets. The VOC-backed securities were some of the first publicly traded bonds that resembled modern sovereign bonds. These bonds played an important role in shaping the evolution of state debt issuance, as they demonstrated the potential of government-backed debt to attract investment, while also highlighting the importance of market liquidity and investor confidence in national debt instruments.[43] The rise of these early debt markets ultimately contributed to the

30 Islam, M., & Chakraborti, J. (2015). Futures and forward contract as a route of hedging the risk. *Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions*, *5*, 68-79.

31 Neal, L. (2017). Money Changes Everything: How Finance Made Civilization Possible: By William N. Goetzmann. 2016. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Pp., x, 584. 35.00cloth; 19.25 eBook.

32 Hansmann, Henry, Kraakman, Reinier, & Squire, Richard. (2006). Law and the rise of the firm. *Harvard Law Review, 119(5),* 1335-1403.

33 Chui, M. (2012). Derivatives markets, products and participants: an overview. *IFC Bulletin*, *35*, 3-11.

34 Ercanbrack, J. (2019). The standardization of Islamic financial law: lawmaking in modern financial markets. *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, *67*(4), 825-860.

35 Pan, E. J. (2012). Understanding Financial Regulation. *Utah L. Rev.*, 1897.

36 Valla, N., Saes-Escorbiac, B., & Tiesset, M. (2006). Bank liquidity and financial stability. *Banque de France Financial Stability Review*, *9*(1), 89-104.

37 Ari, I., & Koc, M. (2018). Sustainable financing for sustainable development: Understanding the interrelations between public investment and sovereign debt. *Sustainability*, *10*(11), 3901.

38 GELDERBLOM, O., & JONKER, J. (2004). Completing a Financial Revolution: The Finance of the Dutch East India Trade and the Rise of the Amsterdam Capital Market, 1595–1612. *The Journal of Economic History*, *64*(3), 641–672. doi:10.1017/S002205070400292X

39 Sanga, S. (2018). A theory of corporate joint ventures. *California Law Review*, *106*(5), 1437-1476.

40 Braudel, F. (2023). *The Mediterranean and the Mediterranean World in the Age of Philip II: Volume II* (Vol. 2). Univ of California Press.

41 Hodge, G. A., & Greve, C. (2007). Public–private partnerships: an international performance review. *Public administration review*, *67*(3), 545-558.

42 Brooks, S. M., Cunha, R., & Mosley, L. (2015). Categories, creditworthiness, and contagion: How investors' shortcuts affect sovereign debt markets. *International studies quarterly*, *59*(3), 587-601.

43 Mosley, L., & Rosendorff, B. P. (2023). Government choices of debt instruments. *International Studies Quarterly*, *67*(2), sqad030.

development of sophisticated financial markets that governments rely on today to manage national debt.[44]

Nowadays, three key developments have weakened the modular approach to sovereign debt restructuring. Firstly, the rise of new creditor groups has become more prominent. Nations like China and Russia, along with distressed bond funds and sovereign wealth funds,[45] among others, have not been fully engaged with the traditional restructuring mechanisms and frameworks. Secondly, the increasing movement of capital across borders and the involvement of government creditors in private financial markets have blurred the lines of the restructuring models, eroding their internal coherence and cross-conditionality.[46] Lastly, individual creditor lawsuits have emerged to fill the enforcement gap left by the diminishing effectiveness of these restructuring modules.[47]

5 The VOC and the Evolution of Bond Markets: From Early Innovations to Contemporary Sovereign Debt

The innovative financing strategies used by the VOC, particularly the issuance of bonds and the creation of a secondary market for trading those bonds, had a profound influence on the structure of modern sovereign debt markets.[48] The VOC's model of issuing bonds to a wide range of investors, followed by the establishment of a secondary market where these bonds could be traded, was a precursor to the sophisticated sovereign debt markets that exist today.[49] The company's issuance of bonds created a market for public debt that allowed investors to buy and sell securities, a practice that is now standard in sovereign debt markets.[50] The development of such markets was crucial for the establishment of liquidity mechanisms and investor protections that are integral to contemporary sovereign debt markets.[51] These mechanisms, including bond ratings and yield curves, help ensure that governments can access financing at favorable terms while minimizing risk for investors. Furthermore, the VOC's model of liquidity has been adopted in the structuring of modern secondary markets, where bonds can be traded and liquidated to manage financial exposure and portfolio diversification.[52]

In conclusion, the VOC's role in shaping early sovereign debt markets and public finance was pivotal in the development of the financial mechanisms that underpin modern government debt issuance. The company's blend of public-private partnership models, bond issuance, and the creation of secondary markets laid the groundwork for today's sophisticated sovereign debt markets, which continue to influence how governments around the world raise capital and manage public finances.[53]

6 Conclusion

The Dutch East India Company's enduring legacy is evident in both its financial innovations and its impact on global trade practices.[54] Although the VOC pioneered several corporate and financial mechanisms that laid the foundation for modern businesses, its lack of ethical responsibility and CSR highlights the risks of prioritizing profit over social and environmental concerns. Contemporary corporations are now tasked with a more balanced approach that integrates CSR principles into their business models. The VOC's financial strategies, particularly in the areas of risk management, sovereign debt, and bond markets, have had a lasting influence on modern financial systems.[55] These developments underscore the importance of responsible corporate governance in maintaining financial stability and ensuring long-term

44 Brunnermeier, M., Oehmke, M., & Jel, G. (2009). Complexity in financial markets. *Princeton University*, *8*.

45 Funds, S. W. (2008). Generally Accepted Principles and Practices "Santiago Principles". *ht-tp://www. iwgswf, org/pubs/eng/santiagoprinciples, pdf, 2009-1-20*.

46 Porzecanski, A. C. (2007). The Constructive Role of Private Creditors. *Ethics & International Affairs*, *21*(S1), 307-319.

47 Gelpern, A. (2016). Sovereign debt: now what?. *Yale Journal of International Law, Forthcoming*.

48 Oraiopoulos, N., Ferguson, M. E., & Toktay, L. B. (2012). Relicensing as a secondary market strategy. *Management Science*, *58*(5), 1022-1037.

49 Megliani, M. (2015). Sovereign debt. *Genesis–Restucturing–Litigation*.

50 Sojeva, D. (2015). Economic and legal advantages to business financing through the issuance of bonds.

51 Brockman, P., & Chung, D. Y. (2003). Investor protection and firm liquidity. *The journal of finance*, *58*(2), 921-937.

52 Kakarot-Handtke, E. (2011). Primary and secondary markets.

53 Renda, A., & Schrefler, L. (2006). Public-private partnerships: Models and trends in the European Union.

54 Basedow, R., & Kauffmann, C. (2016). International trade and good regulatory practices: Assessing the trade impacts of regulation.

55 Błach, J. (2011). Financial innovations and their role in the modern financial system-identification and systematization of the problem. *e-Finanse: Financial Internet Quarterly*, *7*(3), 13-26.

success.[56] As businesses continue to globalize and innovate, the VOC's history offers valuable lessons about the need for a sustainable and ethical approach to business that aligns profitability with societal and environmental responsibilities.

About the Author

Jiajia Zhang is a graduate student pursuing dual master's degrees in law at both the University of Zurich and the University of California, Berkeley. Her academic focus is on commercial law and international financial law. With a strong foundation in these fields, Jiajia's research aims to explore the complex interactions between global financial systems, corporate governance, and legal frameworks that shape international commerce. She is committed to understanding and contributing to the evolution of global financial regulations and corporate legal structures.

References

[1] Gelderblom O., de Jong A., & Jonker J. (2013). The Formative Years of the Modern Corporation: The Dutch East India Company VOC, 1602–1623. The Journal of Economic History, 73(4), 1050–1076. doi:10.1017/S0022050713000879

[2] Tai F. M., & Chuang S. H. (2014). Corporate social responsibility. Ibusiness, 6(03), 117.

[3] Lindgreen A., & Swaen V. (2010). Corporate social responsibility. International journal of management reviews, 12(1), 1-7.

[4] Borschberg P. (2021, February 23). The Dutch East India Company (VOC) in Southeast Asia. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Asian History*. Retrieved 23 Mar. 2025, from https://oxfordre. com/asianhistory/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190277727.001.0001/acrefore-9780190277727-e-550.

[5] Chaudhuri K. N. (2006). The Trading World of Asia and the English East India Company: 1660-1760. Cambridge University Press.

[6] Emmer P. C., & Klooster W. (1999). The Dutch Atlantic, 1600-1800 expansion without empire. Itinerario, 23(2), 48-69.

[7] Gaastra F. S., & Daniels P. (2003). The Dutch East India Company: Expansion and Decline. (No Title).

[8] Carroll A. B., & Shabana K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. International journal of management reviews, 12(1), 85-105.

[9] Fan D., & Zhao C. (2024, December). Corporate Environmental Responsibility and Brand Reputation: Developing Sustainable Business Models and Stakeholder Engagement. In *2024 7th International Conference on Humanities Education and Social Sciences (ICHESS 2024*) (pp. 1020-1026). Atlantis Press.

[10] Crane A., Matten D., Glozer S., & Spence L. J. (2019). Business ethics: Managing corporate citizenship and sustainability in the age of globalization. Oxford university press.

[11] Neal L. (1993). The rise of financial capitalism: International capital markets in the age of reason. Cambridge University Press.

[12] Gaastra F. S., & Daniels P. (2003). The Dutch East India Company: Expansion and Decline. (No Title).

[13] Tracy J. D. (Ed.). (1990). The Rise of merchant empires: long distance trade in the Early Modern World 1350-1750 (Vol. 1). Cambridge University Press.

[14] Mrázek R. (2002). MC Ricklefs, A History of Modern Indonesia since c. 1200. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press, 2001. xviii+ 495 pp. ISBN 0-8047-4479-3 (cloth); 0-8047-4480-7 (pbk.). Itinerario, 26(3-4), 107-111.

[15] Visser W. (2011). The age of responsibility: CSR 2.0 and the new DNA of business. John Wiley & Sons.

[16] Smith N. C. (2003). Corporate social responsibility: whether or how?. California management review, 45(4), 52-76.

[17] Matten D., & Moon J. (2008). "Implicit" and "explicit" CSR: A conceptual framework for a comparative understanding of corporate social responsibility. Academy of management Review, 33(2), 404-424.

[18] Robertson J., & Funnell W. (2012). The Dutch East-India Company and accounting for social capital at the dawn of modern capitalism 1602–1623. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 37(5), 342-360.

[19] Ardiansyah M., & Alnoor A. (2024). Integrating corporate social responsibility into business strategy: creating sustainable value. Involvement International Journal of Business, 1(1), 29-42.

[20] Aguilera R. V., Aragón-Correa J. A., Marano V., & Tashman P. A. (2021). The corporate governance of environmental sustainability: A review and proposal for more integrated research. Journal of Management, 47(6), 1468-1497.

[21] Hansmann, Henry, & Pargendler, Mariana. (2014). The evolution of shareholder voting rights: separation of ownership and consumption. Yale Law Journal, 123(4), 948-1013.

[22] Gelderblom O., De Jong A., & Jonker J. (2013). The formative years of the modern corporation: The Dutch East India Company VOC, 1602–1623. The journal of economic history, 73(4), 1050-1076.

[23] Bartram S. M., Brown G. W., & Fehle F. R. (2009). International evidence on financial derivatives usage. Financial management, 38(1), 185-206.

[24] Lessard D. R. (1991). Global competition and corporate finance in the 1990s. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 3(4), 59-72.

[25] Froot K. A., Scharfstein D. S., & Stein J. C. (1993). Risk management: Coordinating corporate investment and financing policies. the Journal of Finance, 48(5), 1629-1658.

[26] Gelderblom O., De Jong A., & Jonker J. (2020). Learning how to manage risk by hedging: the VOC insurance contract of 1613. European Review of Economic History, 24(2), 332-355.

[27] Bartram S. M., Brown G. W., & Fehle F. R. (2009). International evidence on financial derivatives usage. Financial management, 38(1), 185-206.

[28] Marichal C. (1995). Larry Neal. The rise of financial capitalism: international capital markets in the age of reason. Cambridge: Cambridge

56 Schinasi, G. J. (2004). Defining financial stability.

University Press, 1993. 278 p. Estudios sociológicos, 13(37), 200-201.
[29] Longstaff F. A., & Rajan A. (2008). An empirical analysis of the pricing of collateralized debt obligations. The Journal of Finance, 63(2), 529-563.
[30] Islam M., & Chakraborti J. (2015). Futures and forward contract as a route of hedging the risk. Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions, 5, 68-79.
[31] Neal L. (2017). Money Changes Everything: How Finance Made Civilization Possible: By William N. Goetzmann. 2016. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Pp., x, 584. 35.00cloth; 19.25 eBook.
[32] Hansmann, Henry, Kraakman, Reinier, & Squire, Richard. (2006). Law and the rise of the firm. Harvard Law Review, 119(5), 1335-1403.
[33] Chui M. (2012). Derivatives markets, products and participants: an overview. IFC Bulletin, 35, 3-11.
[34] Ercanbrack J. (2019). The standardization of Islamic financial law: lawmaking in modern financial markets. The American Journal of Comparative Law, 67(4), 825-860.
[35] Pan E. J. (2012). Understanding Financial Regulation. Utah L. Rev., 1897.
[36] Valla N., Saes-Escorbiac B., & Tiesset M. (2006). Bank liquidity and financial stability. Banque de France Financial Stability Review, 9(1), 89-104.
[37] Ari I., & Koc M. (2018). Sustainable financing for sustainable development: Understanding the interrelations between public investment and sovereign debt. Sustainability, 10(11), 3901.
[38] GELDERBLOM O., & JONKER J. (2004). Completing a Financial Revolution: The Finance of the Dutch East India Trade and the Rise of the Amsterdam Capital Market, 1595–1612. The Journal of Economic History, 64(3), 641–672. doi:10.1017/S002205070400292X
[39] Sanga S. (2018). A theory of corporate joint ventures. California Law Review, 106(5), 1437-1476.
[40] Braudel F. (2023). The Mediterranean and the Mediterranean World in the Age of Philip II: Volume II (Vol. 2). Univ of California Press.
[41] Hodge G. A., & Greve C. (2007). Public – private partnerships: an international performance review. Public administration review, 67(3), 545-558.
[42] Brooks S. M., Cunha R., & Mosley L. (2015). Categories, creditworthiness, and contagion: How investors' shortcuts affect sovereign debt markets. International studies quarterly, 59(3), 587-601.
[43] Mosley L., & Rosendorff B. P. (2023). Government choices of debt instruments. International Studies Quarterly, 67(2), sqad030.
[44] Brunnermeier M., Oehmke M., & Jel G. (2009). Complexity in financial markets. Princeton University, 8.
[45] Funds S. W. (2008). Generally Accepted Principles and Practices "Santiago Principles". ht-tp://www. iwgswf, org/pubs/eng/santiagoprinciples, pdf, 2009-1-20.
[46] Porzecanski A. C. (2007). The Constructive Role of Private Creditors. *Ethics & International Affairs*, *21*(S1), 307-319.
[47] Gelpern A. (2016). Sovereign debt: now what?. Yale Journal of International Law, Forthcoming.
[48] Oraiopoulos N., Ferguson M. E., & Toktay L. B. (2012). Relicensing as a secondary market strategy. Management Science, 58(5), 1022-1037.
[49] Megliani M. (2015). Sovereign debt. Genesis–Restucturing–Litigation.
[50] Sojeva D. (2015). Economic and legal advantages to business financing through the issuance of bonds.
[51] Brockman P., & Chung D. Y. (2003). Investor protection and firm liquidity. The journal of finance, 58(2), 921-937.
[52] Kakarot-Handtke E. (2011). Primary and secondary markets.
[53] Renda A., & Schrefler L. (2006). Public-private partnerships: Models and trends in the European Union.
[54] Basedow R., & Kauffmann C. (2016). International trade and good regulatory practices: Assessing the trade impacts of regulation.
[55] Błach J. (2011). Financial innovations and their role in the modern financial system-identification and systematization of the problem. e-Finanse: Financial Internet Quarterly, 7(3), 13-26.
[56] Schinasi G. J. (2004). Defining financial stability.